TWO INDOLOSESQUITERPENES FROM UVARIA PANDENSIS

MAYUNGA H. H. NKUNYA and HUGO WEENEN

Department of Chemistry, University of Dar es Salaam, P.O. Box 35061, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

(Received 3 January 1989)

Key Word Index—*Uvaria pandensis*; Annonaceae; indolosesquiterpenes; (6',7'-dihydro-8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole; (8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole.

Abstract—Two new indolosesquiterpenes, (6',7',-dihydro-8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole and (8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole have been isolated from the roots of *Uvaria pandensis*.

INTRODUCTION

Plants of the genus *Uvaria* continue to be a rich source of new natural products of varied structures, some of which have shown interesting antibacterial, antifungal, antitumour and antimalarial activity [1–4]. We recently reported on the isolation of new cyclohexene epoxides [4] and 3-farnesylindole (1) [5] from *U. pandensis* [6], polybenzylated dihydrochalcones from *U. leptocladon* [7] and terpene chalcones and other compounds from *U. scheffleri* [8]. In continuation of our studies of *Uvaria* species found in Tanzania, we have now isolated the two indolosesquiterpenes, (6',7'-dihydro-8,9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole (2) and <math>(8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-farnesylindole (3) from the dichloromethene extract of the root bark of *U. pandensis*, in addition to (+)- β -senepoxide (4), (+)-pandoxide (5) and (-)-pipoxide (6) [4].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Elution of the dichloromethane extract of air-dried root bark of *U. pandensis* over silica gel with a mixture of ethyl acetate and *n*-hexane (3:7), gave fractions containing a complex mixture of less polar components (as shown by GC), the cyclohexene epoxides **4–6** and the new indolosesquiterpenes **2** and **3**, respectively. The two new compounds were separated by prep. TLC using Me₂CO-hexane (1:4).

(6',7'-dihydro-8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-Farnesylindole which eluted first, is a viscous oil with formula $C_{23}H_{33}NO_2$, [M]⁺ at m/z 355, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +12.1^\circ$ (MeOH; c 0.34), IR, 3300-3550 (*br*), 3100, 1665, 1620 and 735 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR and mass spectra of **2** and **3** resemble those of 1 [5]. However, these spectra in addition show signals due to two secondary hydroxyl groups in both new compounds. Thus, the ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 shows one carbinol proton resonance at δ 3.40 (obscured by the C-1' methylene signal which has the same chemical shift) and one at $\delta 4.30$ (dd, J = 9.5 Hz). The spectrum also shows two olefinic proton signals at δ 5.24 (H-2', t, J=7 Hz and H-10', d, J=9 Hz). Decoupling experiments indicated that one of these protons is vicinal to the C-1' methylene group (δ 3.40, J = 7 Hz) while the other one (J=9 Hz) is adjacent to the carbinol proton at δ 4.30 (H-9', dd, J=9, 5 Hz). Furthermore, the coupling constants of the signals at $\delta 4.30$ (H-9', dd, J=9, 5 Hz) and δ 3.40 (H-8', br d, J = 5 Hz) suggest that the two signals are due to adjacent protons, indicating the relative positions of H-8' and H-9'. Coupling between H-8' and H-7' is apparently very small, resulting in a broad doublet for H-8', instead of an expected doublet of doublets. Other NMR signals include a singlet at δ 1.9 due to three allylic methyl groups at C-3' and at C-11', a doublet at δ 0.95 (C-7'-Me, J = 7 Hz) and multiplets at δ 1.85-2.25 and δ 1.1-1.7 due to the other aliphatic protons. The

Short Reports

aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum is typical of that expected for an indole which is substituted at C-3 [5, 9-12]. Thus, the aromatic region consists of a poorly resolved signal at $\delta 6.89$ (J = 1.5 Hz) which can be assigned to H-2, a broad N-H signal at δ 8.02, a three proton multiplet due to H-5, H-6 and H-7 appears at δ 7.08–7.24 and a multiplet at δ 7.53 which can be assigned to H-4. The mass spectrum of 2 is characteristic of an indole which is substituted with a dioxygenated farnesyl group [5]. Consequently the spectrum shows the loss of a molecule of water from the [M] + to give a fragment ion at m/z 337, which subsequently cleaves an oxygenated diprenyl group to give a fragment ion at m/z 184. Alternatively the [M] + may lose an oxygenated prenyl unit to give a peak at m/z 270. Loss of a second such group forms the most stable ion at m/z 130. This fragmentation pattern indicates that the two OH groups in 2 are substituted on the last two prenyl groups of the farnesyl chain. Therefore, the two hydroxyl groups in 2 are at C-8' and C-9'.

(8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-Farnesylindole (3), also a viscous oil, has formula $C_{23}H_{31}NO_2$; [M]⁺ at m/z 353, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +23.5 (MeOH; c 0.17); IR, 3300–3450 (br), 3100, 3060, 1665 and 735 cm⁻¹. Its spectral features are comparable with those of 1 and 2. Again the presence of two secondary OH groups is evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum, in which the two adjacent carbinol methine signals appear at $\delta 3.76$ (d, J = 8 Hz) and $\delta 4.23$ (dd, J = 8, 8 Hz). Decoupling experiments showed that the proton at $\delta 4.23$ couples with the other methine carbinol proton at $\delta 3.76$ and also with the olefinic proton which resonates at $\delta 5.07$ (br d, J = 8 Hz). Two more olefinic proton signals appear at $\delta 5.37$ while a broad peak due to four allylic methylene protons occurs at $\delta 2.05$ (H-4' and H-9'). The allylic methyl resonances appear at δ 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 6H) and 1.75 (s, 3H). Signals between $\delta 6.9$ and 8.05 and at $\delta 3.45$ are comparable to those in 1 and 2, indicating the presence of an indole moiety in 3. These NMR features are in agreement with the present of a farnesyl group in 3 [5, 13], having two adjacent hydroxyl groups at either C-4' and C-5' or C-8' and C-9', attached to C-3 of an indole moiety. The mass spectrum of 3 was similar to that of 2. Thus, as for 2, the mass spectral fragmentation pattern enabled us to locate the positions of the two hydroxyl groups in 3, at C-8' and C-9'.

EXPERIMENTAL

Pulverized air-dried root bark (410 g) was extracted \times 3 with CH₂Cl₂ for 48 hr. Elution of the crude extract (16.8 g) on silica gel (400 mesh ASTM) with EtOAc-hexane (3:7) gave three fractions containing consecutively a complex mixt. (GC) of less polar unidentified components, a mixt. of three cyclohexene epoxides $\{(+)-\beta$ -senepoxide, (+)-pandoxide and (-)-pipoxide] and the new compounds 2 and 3. The two new compounds were sepd by prep. TLC (silica gel, F254, 1 mm thickness, Me₂CO-hexane, 1:4); compound 2 eluted faster than 3.

(6',7'-dihydro-8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-Farnesylindole (2). Viscous, light brownish oil (88 mg, 0.02%), $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +12.1$ (MeOH; c 0.34), UV (hexane): 280 and 290 nm; (IR v^{neal} : 3300–3450 (br), 3100, 1455 and 735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.95 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H, 7'-Me), 1.24–1.84 (m, H-5' and H-6'), 1.66 (s, 6H) and 1.73 (s, 3H): 3 × Me, 2.00 (m, 3H, H-4' and H-7'), 2.20 (br s, 2H, 2 × OH), 3.40 (br d, d = 7 Hz, 3H, H-1' and H-8'), 4.30 (dd, d = 9, 5 Hz, H-9'), 5.24 (m, 2H, H-2' and H-10'), 6.99 (dist d, d = 1.5 Hz, H-2), 7.08–7.24 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6 and H-7), 7.53 (m, 1H, H-4) and 8.02 (ds d = 1, N-H) and MS, d = 1, d = 1

(8',9'-dihydroxy)-3-Farnesylindole (3). Viscous, light brown oil (54 mg, 0.013%), $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +23.5$ (MeOH; c 0.17), UV (hexane): 280 and 290 nm; IR ν^{neat} , 3300–3450 (br), 3100, 3060, 1455 and 735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): δ1.59 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 6H) and 1.75 (s, 3H): 6 × Me groups, 2.05 (m, 4H, H-4' and H-5'), 2.72 (br s, 2H, 2 × OH), 3.45 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H, H-1'), 3.76 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-8'), 4.23 (dist t, J = 8 Hz, H-9'), 5.07 (dist d, J = 8 Hz, H-10'), 5.37 (m, 2H, H-2' and H-6'), 6.93 (br s, 1H, H-2), 7.07–7.40 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6 and H-7), 7.53 (m, H-4) and 8.05 (br s, 1H, N-H) and MS, m/z (% rel. int.): 353 (9, [M] $^+$), 335 (13.5, [M - H₂O] $^+$), 268 (27.5, [M - C₃H₉O] $^+$), 184 (26, [268 - C₅H₈O] $^+$ or [M - C₁₀H₁₇O₂] $^+$) and 130 (100, [M - C₁₄H₂₃O₂] $^+$).

Acknowledgements—This research was supported by a grant from the University of Dar es Salaam Research and Publications Committee. Spectral facilities were provided by Prof. B. Zwanenburg (University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands).

REFERENCES

- Leboeuf, M., Cavé, A., Bhaumik, P. K., Mukherjee, B. and Mukherjee, R. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2783.
- Thebtaranonth, C. and Thebtaranonth, Y. (1986) Acc. Chem. Res. 19, 84.
- Waterman, P. G. and Muhammad, I. (1984) J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1280.
- Nkunya, M. H. H., Weenen, H., Koyi, N. J., Thijs, L. and Zwanenburg, B. (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 2563.
- Nkunya, M. H. H., Weenen, H. and Koyi, N. J. (1987) *Phytochemistry* 26, 2402.
- 6. Verdcourt, B. and Mwasumbi, L. B. (1988) Kew Bull. 43, 99.
- 7. Nkunya, M. H. H., Achenbach, H., Renner, C. and Weenen, H. (1989) (in press).
- 8. Nkunya, M. H. H., Achenbach, H., Renner, C. and Weenen, H. (1989) (in press).
- Benesova, V., Samek, Z., Herout, V. and Sorm, F. (1969) Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 34, 1807.
- 10. Plieninger, H. and Sirowei, H. (1971) Chem. Ber. 104, 2027.
- 11. Ishi, H. and Muzakani, Y. (1975), Tetrahedron 31, 933.
- Jerram, W. A., McInnes, A. G., Maas, W. S. G., Smith, D. G., Taylor, A. and Walter, J. A. (1975) Can. J. Chem. 53, 727.
- Gomez, F., Quijano, L., Calderon, J. S. and Rios, T. (1980) *Phytochemistry* 19, 2202.